

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/759,281	01/20/2004		Minoru Ando	Q79125	6860
23373	7590	08/23/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE	-		MACCHIAROLO, PETER J		
SUITE 800	SYLVAN	IA AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	ron, dc	20037	2879		

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/759,281	ANDO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Peter J. Macchiarolo	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exterent after - If the - If NC - Failur Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ju	uly 2005.					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>01/20/2004</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/04, 01/04</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 11-12 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 06/15/2005. Accordingly, a first action on the merits for 1-10 appears below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Applicant cited Blackmore (USPN 1701401: "Blackmore").
- 3. Regarding claims 1 and 10, Blackmore shows in figures 1-6, a method of making a metallic shell for a spark plug, the metallic shell including a multi-stepped through hole, an intermediate tubular portion, a tip end side tubular portion disposed on a tip end side of the intermediate tubular portion and a base end side tubular portion disposed on a base end side of the intermediate, tubular portion, the through hole including, in the order from a base end side to a tip end side of the spark plug, a large diameter hole section (fig. 3; top 15), an intermediate diameter hole section (fig. 3; middle 11) smaller in diameter than the large diameter hole section and a small diameter hole section (fig. 3; bottom) smaller in diameter than the intermediate

Application/Control Number: 10/759,281

Art Unit: 2879

center electrode.

diameter hole section, the method comprising the steps of; cutting a metal pipe (9) that is used as a starting material to a predetermined length and thereby preparing a pipe-shaped blank; and subjecting the blank to a deformation process (column 1, Il. 35-41) and thereby forming the blank into the metallic shell, installing an insulator assembly having an insulator (17) in which a center electrode (not labeled) and a terminal member (not labeled) are installed in the metallic shell by inserting the insulator assembly into the metallic shell from the base end side thereof (col. 1, Il. 45-48); and joining an end of a ground electrode (not labeled) to a tip end of the metallic shell and making another end side of the ground electrode be disposed opposite to the

Page 3

4. Regarding claim 3, Blackmore shows in figure 3, an inner diameter of the pipe (9) is equal to a diameter of the small diameter hole section and smaller than a diameter of the large diameter hole section.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blackmore in view of Fischer et al (CA 645083; "Fischer").

Art Unit: 2879

- 6. Regarding claim 2, Blackmore discloses the pipe is flared out to form the inner diameter of the large diameter hole section, thereby implying the inner diameter of the pipe is smaller than the large diameter hole section.
- 7. Blackmore is silent to the inner diameter of the pipe being larger than the small diameter hole section, but instead teaches they are equal.
- 8. However, Fischer shows that using a punch to extrude a blank allows for any desired flange configuration which will benefit the overall device, such as the inner diameter of the pipe being larger than the small diameter hole section.
- 9. Therefore, in view of the above discussion, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manufacture the spark plug of Blackmore with the pipe being larger than the small diameter hole section, to allow for proper operation and fit within several internal combustion engine configurations.
- 10. Regarding claims 5 and 6, Blackmore is silent to forming by extrusion the second, third, fourth, and fifth steps.
- 11. However, as discussed above, Fischer teaches that using a punch and a specific die to extrude a blank into a specific shape allows for a modular manufacturing process that can meet several different spark plug formations to fit a variety of engines.
- 12. Therefore, in view of the above discussion, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manufacture the spark plug of Blackmore with the extrusion steps to allow for operation and fit within several internal combustion engine configurations.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,281

Art Unit: 2879

13. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blackmore in

Page 5

view of Hamilton (USPN 1726264; "Hamilton").

14. Regarding claim 4, Blackmore is silent to an outer diameter of the pipe is larger than that

of the tip end side tubular portion of the metallic shell and smaller than that of the intermediate

tubular portion.

15. However, modifying the machining process of the pipe to manufacture such a spark plug

shell is well within the skill of one in the art. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill would arrive at

this configuration to allow for a better internal securing configuration (internal shoulder), as

evidenced by Hamilton.

16. Therefore, in view of the above discussion, it would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manufacture the spark plug of

Blackmore with the recited internal configuration to allow for a better internal securing

configuration.

17. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Blackmore.

18. Regarding claims 7-9, Blackmore is silent to the exact dimensions of the final spark plug.

19. However, one of ordinary skill in the art understands that the final spark plug must have

certain dimensions so as to properly fit into an internal combustion engine.

20. Furthermore, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in

the prior art, discovering the proper size of a component involves only routine skill in the art. In

re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Application/Control Number: 10/759,281

Art Unit: 2879

21. Therefore, in view of the above discussion, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct Blackmore's spark plug with the recited dimensions for proper operation.

Conclusion

- 22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J Macchiarolo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2375. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 5:00, M-F.
- 24. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 25. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOSEPH WILLIAMS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6